

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated May 26, 2006.

The objection to claim 1 has been remedied by the amendment to claim 1, as set forth herein.

Similarly, the double patenting rejection has been overcome by overcome the filing of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer.


It is noted that claim 1 has been indicated to be directed to patentable subject matter and given the foregoing, formal allowance thereof is requested.

With respect to claim 2, it has been amended and made to depend on claim 1. Therefore, claim 2 is also in condition to be formally allowed.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON AUGUST 25, 2006



MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, EABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700